

1 Rene L. Valladares
2 Federal Public Defender
3 Nevada State Bar No. 11479
4 HEIDI OJEDA
5 Assistant Federal Public Defender
411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6577
Heidi_Ojeda@fd.org

6

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9

10 United States of America,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 Christopher Carey
14 Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cr-00123-KJD-PAL

**Reply to the Government's
Response to Defendant's
Emergency Motion for Order
Reducing Sentence or Modifying
Judgment under 18 U.S.C. §
3582(c)(1)(A)(ii)**

**(Expedited Ruling Requested
due to COVID-19 pandemic)**

17

18 The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted the lives of everyone in America to
19 some degree. But its impact on Mr. Carey has been disproportionate and
20 devastating. Mr. Carey became infected with COVID when the virus ran rampant
21 through Lompoc FCI. Because of Mr. Carey's age and underlying health
22 conditions, he never recovered from COVID. Instead, his health has deteriorated
23 substantially since his first COVID-positive test to the point that he is bed-ridden
24 and unable to provide self-care. This Court has the jurisdiction and ability to
25 grant Mr. Carey compassionate release. Even setting the COVID-19 pandemic
26

1 aside, Mr. Carey qualifies for compassionate release based on his deteriorating
 2 health and diminishing ability to provide self-care.

3 **I. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION BECAUSE 30 DAYS HAS
 4 PASSED SINCE MR. CAREY SUBMITTED FOR
 5 COMPASSIONATE RELEASE.**

6 The government argues that this court does not have jurisdiction because
 7 Mr. Carey has failed to meet his burden of showing that he requested
 8 compassionate release from the warden of Lompoc. Mr. Carey submitted for
 9 release on May 15, 2020. Counsel does not have a copy of that request. On
 10 August 23, 2020, undersigned counsel emailed Lompoc requesting a copy of that
 11 request. However, based on counsel's experience with the BOP, the BOP will
 12 likely either ignore the request or inform counsel that it cannot assist in
 13 providing a copy of that request.

14 The government, however, has access to Mr. Carey's BOP records and can
 15 easily confirm whether Mr. Carey did, in fact, submit for compassionate release.
 16 Instead, without any reason to doubt Mr. Carey's representations that he
 17 submitted for compassionate release on May 15, 2020, the government questions
 18 Mr. Carey's credibility and argues the Court should deny Mr. Carey's request.

19 Several courts have simply accepted defendant's representations of when
 20 they filed for compassionate release without requiring proof.¹ Further, given Mr.

21 ¹ *United States v. Trent*, No. 16-CR-00178-CRB-1, 2020 WL 1812214, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Apr.
 22 9, 2020) ("The Government also argues that Trent failed to exhaust his administrative
 23 remedies. But that argument relies entirely on the BOP's representation that it cannot
 24 confirm that Trent submitted an earlier request for compassionate release. Trent
 25 represents that he did submit such a request. Confronted with the conflicting evidence,
 26 the Court credits Trent's representation, which is based on direct knowledge rather than
 failure to confirm the existence of a filing from over a month ago."); *United States v. Tran*,
 No. CR 08-00197-DOC, 2020 WL 1820520, at *1 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 10, 2020) (court credits

1 Carey's deteriorating health and the fact that he cannot even grasp a cup or get
 2 out of bed, its unreasonable to think that he could find, make copies of his
 3 request and mail them to undersigned counsel (assuming he even has a copy of
 4 his original request). Mr. Carey asks this Court to credit his representations of
 5 when he submitted for compassionate release and rule on the merits of his
 6 motion.

7 **II. GIVEN MR. CAREY'S CURRENT CONDITION, THE
 8 SENTENCING FACTORS WEIGH IN FAVOR OF HIS RELEASE.**

9 The government has conceded that Mr. Carey's meets the extraordinary
 10 and compelling standard. The government, however, argues that this Court
 11 should still deny Mr. Carey's request because he has only served less than 50
 12 percent of his sentence and he was convicted of a sex offense.

13 Courts across the country have found it appropriate to release defendant
 14 who were convicted of sex offenses.² Likewise, in these extraordinary times,

15 _____
 16 defendant's assertion that he spoke to Warden personally and Warden of FCI Oakdale
 17 refused to accept compassionate release request); *United States v. Young*, No. 3:19-cr-
 18 5055, 2020 WL 2614745, at *2 (W.D. Wash. May 22, 2020) ("[c]onfronted with the
 19 apparently conflicting evidence, the Court credits Young's representation, which is based
 20 on direct knowledge rather than failure to confirm the existence of a filing from over a
 month ago" rejecting Government's declaration from USP Lompoc prison official claiming
 no request received);

21 ² See *United States v. Kurtz*, 16-cr-20036, ECF No. 40 (D. Kan. May 12, 2020) (granting
 22 compassionate release to 73-year-old CP defendant hospitalized with COVID-19, who
 23 spent 18-20 days on a ventilator, "was non-responsive, non-verbal," and whose "prognosis
 24 was poor"); *United States v. Connell*, ---F. Supp. 3d---, 2020 WL 2315858, (N.D. Cal. May
 25 8, 2020) (granting compassionate release to 69-year-old CP defendant with numerous
 26 chronic conditions serving year-and-a-day sentence at USP Lompoc); *United States v.
 Fischman*, No. 16-cr-00246-HSG, 2020 WL 2097615 (N.D. Cal. May 1, 2020) (granting
 compassionate release to 72-year-old convicted of possession of CP who had tested
 positive for COVID-19 and was incarcerated at Terminal Island; had approximately four

1 courts have granted release when the defendant has served only a fraction of his
 2 original sentence.³ The fact that Mr. Carey has served only 63 months of his
 3 sentence is not a reason to deny his request when the sentencing factors weigh in
 4 favor of release.

5

6 months left on 70-month sentence); *United States v. Dillard*, Case No. 1:15-cr-170-SAB,
 7 Dkt. No. 71 (D. Idaho Apr. 27, 2020) (53-year-old with grievous health problems granted
 8 compassionate release after serving approximately half of 87-month sentence for second
 9 federal non-production CP offense); *United States v. Sawicz*, No. 08-cr-287, 2020 WL
 10 1815851 (E.D.N.Y. Apr. 10, 2020) (releasing child-pornography offender with
 11 hypertension incarcerated at FCI Danbury with less than 5 months left on his sentence);
 12 *United States v. Adam Field*, No. 18 Cr. 426 (JPO), Dkt. No. 38 (S.D.N.Y. May 4, 2020)
 13 (granting CP defendant with hypertension and “nonphysical health conditions”
 14 incarcerated at FCI Danbury compassionate release); *United States v. Nicholas
 Pagliuca*, 17 Cr. 432 (CS), Dkt. No. 63 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2020) (granting 69-year-old CP
 15 defendant with diabetes and heart issues compassionate release after serving 80 percent
 16 of 36-month sentence); *United States v. Pippin*, No. 16-cr-266, ECF No. 122 (W.D. Wash.
 17 May 20, 2020) (granting compassionate release to a 50-year-old CP defendant with
 18 “multiple chronic medical conditions” who had 28 months left on an 84 month sentence
 19 and was serving his time at FCI Lompoc).

20

³ *United States v. Robinson*, 18-03042-04-CR-S-SRB (WDMO) (served approximately 28 of his 132
 21 month sentence); *United States v. Harrison*, 17-00264-01-CR-W-GAF (WDMO) (served approximately
 22 23 of 112 month sentence); *United States v. Rodriguez-Acedo*, Case No. 19-cr-3539, Dkt. No. 44 (S.D.
 23 Cal. July 21, 2020) (served approximately 11 months of a 37 month sentence); *United States v. Tubbs-
 24 Smith*, No. 18-20310, ECF No. 65 (E.D. Mich. July 2, 2020) (release after 26 months of 10 year sentence
 25 to serve state sentence); *United States v. Torres*, 2020 WL 4019038 (S.D.Fla., July 14, 2020) (5 months
 into 24 month sentence); *United States v. Loyd*, No. CR 15-20394-1, 2020 WL 2572275 (E.D. Mich.
 May 21, 2020) (release after 3 years of 10 year sentence); *United States v. Locke*, No. 18-cr-132, 2020
 WL 3101016, at 1, 6 (W.D. Wash. June 11, 2020) (compassionately releasing a defendant who had
 “served no more than six months of his 62-month sentence”); *United States v. Brown*, Case No. 2:18-
 cr-360, Dkt. No. 35 (N.D. Ala. May 22, 2020) (granting compassionate release to defendant 11 months
 into 60 month sentence); *United States v. Ben Yhwh*, --- F. Supp. 3d ---, 2020 WL 1874125, at *2 (D.
 Hawaii Apr. 13, 2020) (granting compassionate release to defendant less than 13 months into 60 month
 sentence); *United States v. Delgado*, 2020 WL 2464685, at *1, *4 (D. Conn. Apr. 30, 2020) (granting
 compassionate release to defendant 29 months into 120 month sentence); *United States v. Winston*, Case
 No. 1:13-cr-639-RDB, Dkt. No. 295 (D. Md. Apr. 28, 2020) (granting compassionate release to
 defendant 36 months into 120 month sentence); *United States v. Watson*, Case No. 3:18-cr-25-MMD,
 Dkt. No. 51 (D. NV. Jul. 22, 2020) (granting compassionate release to defendant 14 months into 48
 month sentence).

Mr. Carey is not a risk to the community given his current health conditions. The last entries in his medical records indicate a “failure to thrive.” Mr. Carey is unable to move his lower extremities, he is unable to feel movement, he has decreased sensation in his hips down, he has gradual decreased coordination in his hands resulting in difficulty grasping face masks or medical cups, he is still on a catheter and he still has bed sores. Mr. Carey’s deteriorating health undermines any possible danger he is to the community.

Dated: August 24, 2020

Rene L. Valladares
Federal Public Defender

/s/ Heidi Ojeda
By _____
HEIDI OJEDA
Assistant Federal Public Defender

1
2 **CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE**

3 The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee of the Federal
4 Public Defender for the District of Nevada and is a person of such age and
5 discretion as to be competent to serve papers.

6 That on August 24, 2020, she served an electronic copy of the above and
7 foregoing **Emergency Motion for Order Reducing Sentence or Modifying**
8 **Judgment under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(ii) (Expedited Ruling Requested**
9 **due to COVID-19 pandemic)** by electronic service (ECF) to the person named
10 below:

11
12 NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH
13 United States Attorney
14 ELIZABETH WHITE
15 Assistant United States Attorney
16 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South
17 Suite 1100
18 Las Vegas, NV 89101

19
20 _____
21 */s/ Felicia Dahrensbourg*
22 Employee of the Federal Public
23 Defender